| 10/700 705  |   |
|---|---|
| 10/786,795  | VANGHI ET AL.   |
| Examiner  | Art Unit  |
| SHANTELL HEIBER   | 2617  |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>RCE filed 8/31/10</u>   |   |
| (3)   |   |
| (4)   |   |
| Time:   |   |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:  ☐ Applicant's representative)  |   |
|   |   |
| Rejection(s) discussed:   |   |
| Claims discussed: 17 amd 33   |   |
| Prior art documents discussed:  |   |
| Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Amending Claim 17 to include the allowable subject matter. Amending Claim 33 to overcome the 101 rejection.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. |   |
| .pplicant/Applicant's Representat   | ive Signature – if appropriate)   |
|   | SHANTELL HEIBER  Status of Application: RC  (3)  (4)  Time:  ant's representative)  RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS ending Claim 33 to overcome the ecord of the substance of the examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the ecord of the substance of the |